McGregor Range Land Withdrawal Public Comment and Response Document

Local Government Otero County



JAN 07 RECT

1000 NEW YORK AVENUE, ROOM 101 ALAMOGORDO, NEW MEXICO 88310-6935

Mr. Andy Vliet, Commander U. S. Army Air Defense Center ATTN: ATZ-C-CSA Ft. Bliss, Texas 79916

Re: Copy of letter supporting McGregor Range Land Withdrawal

Dear Mr. Vliet:

Enclosed is a copy of one of the letters we sent out in support of the McGregor Range Land Withdrawal,

If you have any questions or need further information, please call me at (505) 437-7427.

Richard L. Zierlein/ Otero County Commission

RLZ/kmd

enclosure (1)

McGregor Range Land Withdrawal Public Comment and Response Document

Local Government Otero County

1-21-88 10:05am From-OTERO COUNTY ADMINISTRATION +5054376542 T-010 P.03/03 F-206

COUNTY OF OTERO

January 7, 1999

1000 NEW YORK AVENUE, ROOM 101 / LAMCIGORDO, NEW MEXICO 88310-6935

Chamber of Commerce Mr. Ed Brabson, President 1301 N. White Sands Blvd. Alamogordo, NM 88310

Dear Mr. Brabson:

The Board of County Commissioners in Otero County are making an official statement of continued withdrawal of McGregor Range, with the Army's proposed action, which renews for a period of 50 years beginning in 2001 McCregor Range's boundaries as they are today.

We fully support the withdrawa for continued military use. Further, we have requested cooperating agency status to assist in the withdrawal process of such use.

The installations are critical components of our economy and valuable to national defense. They are also a vital asset to Alamogardo.

Otero County fully supports Ho Ioman Air Force Base, Fort Bliss, and White Sands Missile Range.

BOARD OF COLINTY COMMISSIONERS

RONNY RARDIN, Chairman

CHARD L. ZIERLEIN, Vice Chairman

TIMOTHY MCGINN, Member

3-4

10:24am From-OTERO COUNTY ADMINISTRATION

T-078 P.02/03 F-338



+5054376542

FEB 9 _ RECO

COUNTY OF OTERO

1:00 NEW YORK AVENUE, ROOM 101 ALFMOGURDO, NEW MEXICO BR310-6935

February 4, 1999

Dr. Andrew Vliet, Dphil Program Manager-McGregor Ren :wal P.O. Box 6020 Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

> Re: McGregor Range Land Withdrawal Legislative Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Dr. Vilet:

Previously, the Board of County Commissioners have written and expressed our preference for Option I as set forth in the above efferenced document. Nevertheless, we would like to express the following additional comments:

We are concerned hat the document does not adequately recognize or assess the impacts on potential Private Property Rights that may exist in the area. As you are aware, at least one Federal Court has "ecognized Property Rights in permits, water rights, and improvements but on rangelands by various permittees.

The long-term use and practices that have sustained cattle growers and other land use s on the Range should be explicitly recognized and provided for in the legislation that implements the Withdrawal

The processes that have resulted in this document as well as a
mumber of other p anning efforts by the military establishment,
the BLM, Forest Service and other Federal agencies have been
fragmented to date.

- 80. Section 3.7.1 summarizes the surface water rights as described in the *McGregor Range Water Requirements and Resources Assessment* dated October 1998. Additional material from this document to include Table 6-1, *Surface Water Rights Associated with McGregor Range*, and the discussion of groundwater rights was included in the text of Sections 3.7.1 and 3.7.2 of the final LEIS. This new table describes 17 surface water rights held by the federal government, communities, and private individuals and organizations.
- 81. Long-term use and practices that have sustained cattle growers and other land uses on McGregor Range have been recognized in the legislation regarding the current withdrawal (PL 99-606) in that Section 3, *Management of Withdrawn Lands*, provides for grazing, protection of wildlife and wildlife habitat, control of predatory and other animals, recreation, and the suppression of brush and range fires. The Act also provides that all nonmilitary uses of withdrawn land is subject to such conditions and restrictions as necessary to permit the military use of such lands. The Army proposal for withdrawn land under each alternative incorporates the BLM's McGregor Range RMPA that resulted from PL 99-606. In this manner, these uses are explicitly proposed for the forthcoming legislation.
- 82. Federal government planning is specific to each department and as a result, is often unknown to other departments. In this LEIS, the Army has included as many actions of federal, state, and local governments, as well as local organizations as could be identified. Those actions with sufficient available information were included in the cumulative effects discussion in Chapter 4 of the LEIS.

80

81

82

McGregor Range Land Withdrawal Public Comment and Response Document

Local Government Otero County

02-09-99 10:24am From-OTERO COUNTY ADMINISTRATION +5054376542 T-078 P.03/03 F-338 Page 2 Dr. Andrew Vliet, DPhil February 4, 1999 831 An effort should b: made to plan the entire south west area as a unified whole so it at local citizens can be adequately informed as to the entirety o'the impacts they are likely to suffer from. We believe that NIPA requires such an approach. 841 As co-managers of the area, the BLM and military should be very careful to ensure that all statutory requirements are fulfilled in planning for the at a especially in determining the effect those decisions will have on Otero County. The County should be included in any NIPA or FLPMA processes occurring with respect BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO Ronny Rardin, Chairman Timothy D. McGinn, Member

- 83. The Army appreciates Otero County's comment and considered it during preparation of the final LEIS. It has become a part of this public comment response document for congressional review. However, evaluation of the entire southwest as a whole is beyond the scope of the NEPA requirement relative to the renewal of the McGregor Range military land withdrawal.
- 84. The Army appreciates Otero County's involvement in the environmental analysis process for the renewal of the McGregor Range military land withdrawal. The Army will continue to include Otero County in its development of actions subject to public or agency coordination or review under NEPA or the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA).